

[MB<sup>1</sup>] comments on ISO/IEC 1<sup>st</sup> WD 27033-2<sup>3</sup>

Date: 2007-MM-DD	Document: <b>SC 27 N5912</b>
------------------	------------------------------

1	2	(3)	4	5	(6)	(7)
NB <sup>1</sup>	Clause No./ Subclause No./ Annex (e.g. 3.1)	Paragraph/ Figure/Table/ Note (e.g. Table 1)	Type of comment <sup>2</sup>	Comment (justification for change) by the NB	Proposed change by the NB	Resolution on each comment
US1	ALL		TE	The scope of the document references “ <i>planning, design and implementation of network security</i> ”. However, the document content primarily describes defining security architecture.	Either the scope needs to be reworded or the document needs to focus on all aspects of planning, design and implementation of network security. Architecture definition will be one of the aspects of the larger scope.	
US2	ALL		TE	The structure of the entire document is not presented at the beginning of the document. Also – there is no reference to the other parts of 27033.	A recommended lifecycle approach is illustrated in Item 1 in Attachment 2. With some modifications, the approach can be used as the overall layout of Part 2. There will be a section for each phase (per the scope – or references to other parts can be included)  <b>It is expected that most of the content in the current Part 2 draft can be reused and included in the appropriate sections once the structure is agreed upon.</b>	
US3	Section 5		TE	The technical architecture development process in Figure 1 needs to be revised so as to leverage the content from the Part 1 draft	For the section on defining network architecture, we are proposing the flow as illustrated	

1 **MB** = Member body (enter the ISO 3166 two-letter country code, e.g. CN for China; comments from the ISO/CS editing unit are identified by \*\*)

2 **Type of comment:** **ge** = general **te** = technical **ed** = editorial

**NOTE** Columns 1, 2, 4, 5 are compulsory.

3 Subject to SC 27 approval by a 60-day balloting (see SC 27 N5983) and subsequent JTC 1 endorsement of the renumbering from 18028 to 27033.

[MB<sup>1</sup>] comments on ISO/IEC 1<sup>st</sup> WD 27033-2<sup>3</sup>

Date: 2007-MM-DD	Document: <b>SC 27 N5912</b>
------------------	------------------------------

1	2	(3)	4	5	(6)	(7)
NB <sup>1</sup>	Clause No./ Subclause No./ Annex (e.g. 3.1)	Paragraph/ Figure/Table/ Note (e.g. Table 1)	Type of comment <sup>2</sup>	Comment (justification for change) by the NB	Proposed change by the NB	Resolution on each comment
				<p>and/or to provide references to other parts of this series or other standards.</p> <p>Currently there is no link or harmonization between Part 1 and Part 2 (there is a lot of repetition of concepts). There needs to be a tight linkage.</p>	<p>in Item 2 of attachment 2.</p> <p>It allows for the content from the Part 1 (draft document) to be incorporated in this part.</p> <p>We propose that the existing security framework in 18028-2 be retained as a sub-section in the section for defining technical architecture.</p>	
US4	Section 5.6		TE	Depending on how the document gets restructured, there needs to be detailed methodology for asset identification, risk assessment and security controls specification.	A detailed contribution can be developed using the concepts as discussed in Item 3 of Attachment 2.	

1 **MB** = Member body (enter the ISO 3166 two-letter country code, e.g. CN for China; comments from the ISO/CS editing unit are identified by \*\*)

2 **Type of comment:** **ge** = general **te** = technical **ed** = editorial

**NOTE** Columns 1, 2, 4, 5 are compulsory.

3 Subject to SC 27 approval by a 60-day balloting (see SC 27 N5983) and subsequent JTC 1 endorsement of the renumbering from 18028 to 27033.