

DOC: INCITS-V36/08-0043 Date: 2008-07-24

Reply to: Gary Twogood

email: twogoodg@yahoo.com <<mailto:twogoodg@yahoo.com>>

Draft Minutes

INCITS Technical Committee V36, Learning, Education and Training
Standardization
Meeting Number 28

2008-07-25

1:00 PM Eastern - 4:00 PM Eastern

Location: Telecon

Agenda Point of Contact: Gary Twogood
twogoodg@yahoo.com

1. Administrative

1.1 Call to Order of Meeting - Meeting called to order 1:00PM
Eastern Daylight Time

1.2 Appointment of recording secretary - Hal Waters of RTI

1.3 Roll Call / Introduction of Attendees and New Members

In attendance: IMS Global, RTI International, Raytheon, Air
Force, Alpine Testing, WGBH, Microsoft, and Farance, Inc.

Not in attendance: None

1.4 Members in Letter Ballot Jeopardy: None

1.5 Members in Attendance Jeopardy: None

1.6 Membership Status

Alpine Testing attended second meeting and established voter
eligibility. Microsoft attended first meeting.

1.7 INCITS Anti-Trust Guidelines

<http://www.incits.org/inatrust.htm>

2. Remarks

2.1 Chair Remarks

Welcome!

2.2 Administrator Remarks

3. Approval of Minutes from last meeting: None have been
submitted.

REF: INCITS-V36/08-0038

No comments.

Agenda Approval: WGBH-motion; Raytheon Second after two additions from Raytheon:

- 1) 3 Part Accessibility FDIS or JTC1 Fast Track on SCORM
- 2) Request by Cartago to become a Cat 3 Liaison with Working Group 1

4. Review of Meeting 26 Action Items

4.1 Raytheon had proposed that V36 encourage Mr. Farance to submit specification items to become ANSI standards. IMS seconded and the vote was 5-0-0-1. Mr Farance had departed the telecon prior to the vote. No submissions have been offered as of this date.

5. WG, Rapporteur and Ad-Hoc WG Reports

5.1 WG1 Vocabulary (Mr. Twogood)

WG1 has submitted a document concerning the scope of the WG. Convener David Clemis may not be able to attend the Stuttgart plenary and has asked Gary Twogood to substitute.

5.2 WG2 Collaborative Technology (Mr. Peoples):

A new Network Sensors Group in JTC1 is exploring topics which are LET related and of interest to SC36. Software sensors are referred to as Agents. The Raytheon alternate, Howard Cho, is the representative to this group.

5.3 WG3 Learner Information: (Dr Frank)

Christian Stracke has requested that the IEEE-LTSC RCD Standard be discussed in the WG3 meetings. The IEEE-LTSC Standard is the same as the IMS equivalent Standard. IMS submitted 3 different IMS Standards to one of the groups, including RCD. Request has gone to IEEE-LTSC chair, Robby Robson. No response as of yet.

5.4 WG4 Management and Delivery of Learning Education and Training (Dr. Abel):

In Korea, the Working Group decided that the Core SCORM WG would do work to present at the Stuttgart Plenary. During a Conference Call 24 July 2008 the group agreed to lay out alternate positions in each of 6 different evaluation criteria areas. The Technical Expert group received inputs from NBOs and the Chinese National Body. The Group will clarify what the options are for 6 different areas by document deadline to go over in Stuttgart.

5.5 WG 5 Quality Assurance and Descriptive Frameworks: (Mr. Olsen)

The primary controlling document is ISO 9000 - it is being used as the primary specification doc.

5.6 Marketing Rapporteur Group -(Mr. Peoples):

Karen is floating around new terms of reference to reform Marketing Rapporteur Group.

5.7 WG6 International Standardized Profile Working Group - (Mr. Peoples):

Raytheon requested information from David Hyde for Patent filings. Mr. Hyde sent information to WG6.

5.8 WG7 Culture, Language, and Function Accommodation (Ms. Rothberg):

Number of ballot items for discussion during this TAG.
Madeleine won't be able to attend Stuttgart Plenary.

6. Other Business

6.1 Ballot Actions to be taken

6.1.1 N1662 - New Work Item - 3 parts on Content Packaging in response to Jeju Plenary Resolution 62:

Discussion - None. Vote: Do you approve the USNB position to approve the text of New Work Item - 3-part standard on content packaging in response to Jeju Plenary Resolution #62

Motion for roll call vote: IMS; Second: Raytheon. Roll call vote: IMS yes; RTI: yes; Raytheon; yes; Air Force: Yes; WGBH: Yes. Approved.

6.1.2 N1663 - Text of ISO/IEC CD XXXX1, ITLET - Content Packaging part 1: Information Model

Discussion - Is this the first draft of information model? Yes.
Project 12785-1.

Vote: Do you support the USNB position to approve the Text of ISO/IEC CD 127875-1, ITLET - Content Packaging - Part 1: Information Model

Motion for roll call vote: IMS; Second: Raytheon. Roll call vote: RTI: yes; IMS yes; Raytheon; yes; Air Force: Yes; WGBH: Yes. Approved.

6.1.3 N1666 - Text of CD 24751-8 Individualized adaptability and accessibility in e-learning, education, and training - Part 8: Language accessibility and HIE in e-learning applications, principles, rules and metadata elements.

[HLW] Discussion - RTI: How does it relate to metadata work in WG4? WGBH: Not directly related. IMS: MLR work in WG4 covers accessibility. RTI: WG4 includes references to audience. Raytheon: depends if there is a language id code/element. If there is, it should be harmonized. No thorough analysis has been done. Jake Knoppers (CA) is POC for this work. Note about harmonization in text.

Vote: Do you support USNB position to approve the Text of ISO/IEC CD 24751-8, Individualized adaptability and accessibility in e-learning, education and training Part 8: Language accessibility and HIEs in e-learning applications: Principles, rules and metadata elements
Motion for roll call vote: WGBH; Second: IMS. Roll call vote: RTI: yes; IMS yes; Raytheon; yes; Air Force: Yes; WGBH: Yes.
Approved.

6.1.4 N1667 - Text of ISO/IEC CD 3 19788-1, ITLET - Metadata for Learning Resources - Part 1: Framework

Discussion - Project editors submitted the wrong document. RTI: Trying to understand efforts to harmonize IEEE LOM and Dublin Core, yet no reference in the abstract model. LTSC is sending reps to WG4 meetings. Particulars are unknown. The people are present in WG4 to do the harmonization activities. IMS: In Korea there was discussion on harmonization. A precedent was needed to achieve harmonization. No resolution was achieved in Korea. IMS likes the concepts of MLR, but haven't drilled down enough to know particulars. RTI would like appropriate words to be included. Raytheon: With such technical concerns, the USNB should vote to disapprove.

Vote: Do you support USNB position to disapprove the Text of ISO/IEC CD 19788-1, 2 - Metadata for Learning Resources - Part 1: Framework; Part 2 Core Elements

Note: All DISAPPROVAL positions will change to APPROVAL once the disapproval comments are accommodated and the appropriate changes in the ballot text are made.

Motion for roll call vote: Raytheon; Second: RTI. Roll call vote: RTI: yes; IMS yes; Raytheon; yes; Air Force: Yes; WGBH: Yes. Accepted.

Action Item for Dr Frank: Get comments to Gary by end of next week (August 1, 2008).

6.1.5 N1668 - Text of ISO/IEC CD2 19788-2 ITLET - Metadata for Learning Resources Part 2: Core elements

NOTE: Frank of Farance, Inc. joined ...

6.1.6 N1670 - Text of ISO/IEC CD 19796-2 ITLET - Quality Management, Assurance, and Metrics - Part 2: Harmonized Quality Model.

Discussion - None. Vote: Do you support USNB position to approve the Text of ISO/IEC CD 19796-2, ITLET - Quality management, assurance and metrics - Part 2: Harmonized quality model?

Motion for roll call vote: RTI; Second: Raytheon. Roll call vote: IMS yes; RTI: yes; Raytheon; yes; Air Force: Yes; WGBH: Yes; Farance: Yes. Approved.

6.1.7 N9124 - IETF ARO

Discussion (History from Mr Peoples): JTC 1, at the Banff Meeting agreed to approve documents from other recognized standards organizations as normative refs. It took 3 years to complete IETF documented. It is just a formality at this point.

Vote: Do you support the USNB position of approving the request for IETF to become an approved RS Originator Organization?

Motion for roll call vote: Raytheon; Second: Air Force Second; Roll call vote: IMS yes; RTI: yes; Raytheon; yes; Air Force: Yes; WGBH: Yes. Approved.

6.1.8 Approval of members to attend Stuttgart Plenary

Nominated USNB delegates: Gary Twogood and Rob Abel on all WGs and Plenary; Bruce as WG2 technical expert.

Motion for vote: RTI; Second: Raytheon.

Is there any dissention to approve USNB delegates as Gary Twogood and Rob Abel on all WGs and Plenary and Bruce as WG2 technical expert? None. Approved.

New 6.1.9 Regarding vote on FDIS 24751-1, -2, and -3

Discussion? WGBH: This is the very final vote. Farance: Procedural detail on 2 week rule - never enough time for FDIS. Any objection to taking a vote in meeting, could issue as an accelerated letter ballot.

Any objection to doing a roll call vote? No objection.

Vote: Do you support the USNB position to approve the FDIS Parts 1, 2, 3 as presented?

Motion for roll call vote: WGBH; Second: IMS. Roll call vote: IMS yes; RTI: yes; Raytheon; yes; Air Force: Yes; WGBH: Yes; Farance: Yes. Approved.

6.1.10 N1669 - Establishment of Category C alliance with Cartago Alliance.

Cartago Alliance requested establishment of a Category C Liaison with WG1. Cartago is affiliated with AUF and has done considerable work in the area of terminology translations among a number of languages.

Vote: Do you support USNB position to approve the Cartago Alliance request for a Category C liaison with SC36/WG1 Motion for vote U.S. Air Force, Second Raytheon. Roll call vote: IMS yes; RTI: yes; Raytheon; yes; Air Force: Yes; WGBH: Yes; Farance: Yes. Approved.

6.2 Other Business

6.2.1 Future Meeting Schedule 2008-08-22

Okay with all, but Microsoft, Farance, and Raytheon will need to check.

6.2.2 Action Items from last meeting - None

6.4 Review Korea Plenary happenings

Farance is willing to make a contribution to Resolution 16 (Jeju 2008): Request for a study period on managing and exchanging participant information.

Regarding Resolution 45 "Actions that could be associated with icons used in an IT-enabled Learning, Education, and Training Environment", the work being transferred to SC 35 (Standard Icons).

Regarding Resolution 61 (Jeju 2008)SCORM 2004, 3rd Ed. BSI has submitted it to JTC 1 as fast track - all four books -- as Type 3 Technical Reports.

US contributions are due July 28, 2008.

Postings due August 18, 2008. The TAG may need to have a meeting before August 18th, on Aug 14th or 15th. Since it would be less than 30 days, it would need to be informal.

7. Review of Action Items from this Meeting

7.1. Dr Frank will write up comments regarding harmonization of IEEE LOM, Dublin Core, and MLR.

7.2. Mr Farance will resubmit a contribution in response to Korean Resolution 16.

8. Next Meetings:

2008-10-02 (proposed)

Alpine can not participate on that date due to a meeting conflict.

2008-11-06 (proposed)

2008-12-11 (proposed)

9. Adjournment

Time: 15:01PM, EDT

Motion: Raytheon

Second: WGBH